arXiv:1605.02943v1 [math.PR] 10 May 2016

Modified Stieltjes Transform and Generalized Convolutions

Lev B Klebanov*, Rasool Roozegar†

Abstract

Classical Stieltjes Transform is modified in a way to generalize both Stieltjes and Fourier transforms. This transform allows to introduce new classes of commutative and non-commutative generalized convolutions.

Key words: Stieltjes Transform; characteristic function; generalized convolution.

1 Introduction

Let us begin with a definitions of classical and generalized Stieltjes transforms. Although, usual these are transforms given on a set of functions, we will consider more convenient for us case of probability measures or for cumulative distribution functions. Namely, let μ be a probability measure of Borel subsets of real line $\mathbb{R}^1$. Its Stieltjes transform is defined as

$$S(z) = S(z;\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(x)}{x-z},$$

where $\mathtt{Im}(z) \neq 0$. Surely, the integral converges in this case. Generalized Stieltjes transform is represented by

$$S_\gamma(z) = S_\gamma(z;\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(x)}{(x-z)^\gamma}$$

*Department of Probability and Mathematical Statistics, MFF, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

†Department of Statistics, Yazd University, P.O. Box 89195-741, Yazd, Iran

for real $\gamma > 0$. A modification of generalized Stieltjes transform was proposed in [1]. Now we prefer to change this modification, and define the following form of transform:

$$R_\gamma(u) = R_\gamma(u;\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(x)}{(1-iux)^\gamma}. \tag{1.1}$$

Connection to the generalized Stieltjes transform is obvious. It is convenient for us to use this transform for real values of u. It is clear that the limit

$$\lim_{\gamma\to\infty} R_\gamma(u/\gamma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\{iux\} d\mu(x), \tag{1.2}$$

represents Fourier transform (characteristic function) of the measure μ. The uniqueness of a measure recovering from its modified Stieltjes transform follows from corresponding result for generalized Stieltjes transform.

Relation (1.2) gives us limit behavior of modified Stieltjes transform as $\gamma \to infty$. Another possibility ($\gamma \to 0$) without any normalization gives trivial limit equals to 1. However, more proper approach is to calculate the limit $(R_\gamma(u) - 1)/\gamma$ as $\gamma \to 0$. It is easy to see, that

$$\lim_{\gamma\to 0}(R\gamma(u) - 1)/\gamma = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log\frac{1}{1-iux} d\mu(x). \tag{1.3}$$

If the measure μ has compact support, it is possible to write series expansion for modified Stieltjes transform:

$$R_\gamma(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(x)}{(1-iux)^\gamma} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k i^k \binom{-\gamma}{k} \kappa_k(\mu) x^k,$$

where $\kappa_k(\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^k d\mu(x)$ is kth moment of the measure μ.

Modified Stieltjes transform may be interpreted in terms of characteristic functions. Namely, let us consider gamma distribution with probability density function

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{|\lambda|^\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)} x^{\gamma-1} \exp(-x/\lambda), \tag{1.4}$$

for $x * \lambda > 0$, and zero in other cases. Note, that this distribution is ordinary gamma distribution for positive λ, and its "mirror reflection" on negative semi-axes for negative λ. Let us now consider λ as random variable with

cumulative distribution function μ. In this case, (1.1) gives characteristic function of gamma distribution with such random parameter:

$$f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(\lambda)}{(1 - it\lambda)^{\gamma}}. \tag{1.5}$$

2 A family of commutative generalized convolutions

Using modifies Stieltjes transform we can introduce a family of commutative generalized convolutions. Main idea for this is the following. Let μ_1 and μ_2 be two probabilities. Take positive γ and consider product of modified Stieltjes transforms of these measures $R_\gamma(u, \mu_1)R_\gamma(u, \mu_2)$. We would like to represent this product as a modified Stieltjes transform of a measure. Typically, the product is not modified Stieltjes transform with the same index γ. However, it can be represented as modified Stieltjes transform with index $\rho > \gamma$ of a measure ν, which is called generalized (more precisely "(γ, ρ)") convolution of the measures μ_1 and μ_2. Let us mention that the indexes ρ and γ are not arbitrary, however, there are infinitely many suitable pairs of indexes. Clearly, the measure ν, if exists, depends on μ_1, μ_2, and on indexes γ, ρ.

Unfortunately, we cannot describe all pairs γ, ρ for which corresponding generalized convolution ν of measures μ_1 and μ_2 exists. However, we shall show, the pairs of the form $n, 2n$ (where n is positive, but not necessarily integer number) possess this property.

Theorem 2.1. *Let μ_1, μ_2 be two probability measures on σ-field Borel subsets of real line. For arbitrary real $n > 0$ there exists "$(n, 2n)$" convolution ν of μ_1 and μ_2. In other words, for real $n > 0$ and measures μ_1 and μ_2 there exists a measure ν such that*

$$R_{2n}(u; \nu) = R_n(u; \mu_1)R_n(u; \mu_2). \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Because convex combination of probability measures is a probability measure again, and each probability on real line can be considered as a limit of sequence of measures concentrated in finite number of points each, it is sufficient to prove the statement for Dirac δ-measures only.

Suppose now that the measures μ_1 and μ_2 are concentrated in points a and b correspondingly. We have to prove that there is a measure ν depending

on a, b and n such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu(x)}{(1-iux)^{2n}} = \frac{1}{(1-iua)^n} \cdot \frac{1}{(1-iub)^n}. \tag{2.2}$$

Of course, it is enough to find the measure ν with compact support. Therefore, we must have

$$\kappa_m = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{n(n+1)\cdots(n+k-1)}{k!} \cdot \frac{n(n+1)\cdots(n+m-k-1)}{(m-k)!} a^k b^{m-k} / \binom{-2n}{m}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\kappa_m = \kappa_m(\nu)$ is mth moment of ν. It remains to show that the left hand side of (2.3) really defines for $m = 0, 1, \ldots$ moments of a distribution.

Let us denote $\lambda = a/b$ and suppose that $|\lambda| < 1$ (the case $|\lambda| = 1$ may be obtained as a limit case). Then κ_m can be rewrite in the form

$$\kappa_m = (-1)^m b^m \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} \frac{(n)_k (n)_{m-k}}{(2n)_m} \lambda^k,$$

where $(s)_j = s\cdots(s+j-1)$ is Pochhammer symbol. Simple calculations allows us to obtain from previous equality that

$$\kappa_m = \frac{b^m (n)_m \, {}_2F_1(-m, n, 1-m-n, a/b)}{(2n)_m}. \tag{2.4}$$

Let us consider a random variable X having Beta distribution with equal parameters n and n, that is with probability density function

$$p_X(x) = (1-x)^{n-1} x^{n-1} 2^{2n-1} \Gamma(n+1/2) / (\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(n)),$$

for $x \in (0,1)$, and zero for $x \notin (0,1)$. It is not difficul to calculate that

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(aX + b(1-X)\Big)^m = b^m \, {}_2F_1(-m, n, 2n, 1-a/b),$$

which coincide with (2.4) for non-negative integer m and real $n > 0$. □

Theorem 2.1 allows us to define a family of depending on n generalized convolutions $\nu = \mu_1 \star_n \mu_2$, which is equivalent to the relation (2.1). Obviously, this operation is commutative. However, it is not associative, which can be

easily verified by comparing the convolutions $(\delta_1 \star_n \delta_2) \star_n \delta_3$ and $\delta_1 \star_n (\delta_2 \star_n \delta_3)$, where δ_a denotes Dirac measure at point a. It is easy to verify that $\mu_1 \star_n \mu_2(2A) \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \mu_1 * \mu_2(A)$, where $*$ denotes ordinary convolution of measures. We have $2A$ in the left-hand-side because $\mathbb{E}X = 1/2$. This generalized convolution may be written through independent random variables U and V in the form

$$W = UX + V(1 - X),$$

where X is random variable independent of (U, V) and having Beta distribution with parameters (n, n), and the distribution of W is exactly generalized convolution of distributions of U and V.

In view of non-associativity of $\star_n$-convolution it does not coincide with K. Urbanik generalized convolution (see, [2]). At the same time, it non-associativity shows that the expression $\mu_1 \star_n \mu_2 \star_n \mu_3$ has no sense. However, one can define this 3-arguments operation by use stochastic linear combinations, that is linear forms of random variables with random coefficients. Now we define such k-arguments operation. Namely, let $U_1, \ldots, U_k$ be independent random variables, and $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ be a random vector having Dirichlet distribution with parameters $(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = (n, \ldots, n)$. Define

$$W = X_1 U_1 + \ldots + X_{k-1} U_{k-1} + \big(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\big) U_k \tag{2.5}$$

The map from vector U of marginal distributions of $(U_1, \ldots, U_k)$ to the distribution of random variable W call k-tuple generalized convolution of the components of U. Clearly, this operation is symmetric with respect to permutations of coordinates of the vector U.

3 Connected family of non-commutative generalized convolutions

Let now $U_1, \ldots, U_k$ be independent random variables, and $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ be a random vector having Dirichlet distribution with parameters $(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$, possible different from each other. Using the relation (2.5) define random variable W. Its distribution will be called non-commutative generalized convolution of marginal distributions of the vector U. In particular case of

$k = 2$ we obtain non-commutative variant of two-tuple generalized convolution, which represents more general case of (1.1).

Let us give a property of this generalized convolution. To do so, let us define $\tilde{beta}_{A,B}$ distribution over interval (A, B) by its probability density function

$$p_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{B(\alpha,\beta)(B-A)^{\alpha+\beta-1}}(x-A)^{\alpha-1}(B-x)^{\beta-1}, & \text{if } A < x < B, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for positive $\alpha,\ \beta$. Here $B(\alpha, \beta)$ is beta function.

Theorem 3.1. *Let W_1, W_2 be two independent identical distributed random variables having $\tilde{beta}_{A,B}(n, n)$ distribution, and μ_1, μ_2 be corresponding probability distributions. Then the measure $\nu = \mu_1 \star_n \mu_2$ corresponds to $\tilde{beta}_{A,B}(2n, 2n)$ distribution.*

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 2.1 that $W_j \stackrel{d}{=} AX_j + B(1 - X_j)$, where X_1, X_2 are independent identically distributed random variables having Beta(n,n) distribution. The rest of the proof is just simple calculation. □

The property given by Theorem 3.1 is very similar to classical stability definition.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $U_j,\ j = 1, \ldots, k$ be independent random variables having $\tilde{beta}$ distribution with parameters $\alpha_j = r_j + 1/2,\ \beta_j = r_j + 1/2$. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_{k-1}$ be a random vector having Dirichlet distribution with parameters $(r_1, \ldots, r_k)$. Then random variable*

$$W = X_1U_1 + \ldots + X_{k-1}U_{k-1} + \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} X_j\right) U_k$$

has $\tilde{beta}$ distribution with parameters $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} r_j + 1/2,\ \sum_{j=1}^{k} r_j + 1/2\right)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to calculate modified Stieltjes transform of the distribution of W using some properties of Gauss-hypergeometric function. □

This property is also similar to classical stability property, but for the case of k-tuple operation.

4 Acknowledgment

The work was partially supported by Grant GACR 16-03708S.

References

[1] Rasool Roozegar (2015) Certain Family of Some Beta Distributions Arising from Distribution of Randomly Weighted Average, arXiv: 1508.01731v1, 1-9.

[2] Urbanik K. (1964) Generalized convolutions, Studia Math., **23**, 217-245.